



ÚRAD VLÁDY SLOVENSKEJ REPUBLIKY

PLÁN [OBNOVY]

Ū

MINISTERST

ŠKOLSTVA, VEDY, VÝSKUMU A ŠPORTU

*This short English version of the call is prepared for information purpose only. Binding, full version of the call is in Slovak on <u>this website</u>.

| Call code | 09103-03-V04 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Objective and purpose of the call | The call is aimed to support basic and applied research carried out in Slovakia by excellent Slovak and foreign researchers in the career stages from R2 to R4. |
| | The call consists of 3 types of support according to the career stage of the supported researcher: |
| | Researcher R2 Fellowship Researcher R3 Fellowship Researcher R4 Fellowship Support for researchers during the implementation of their projects will be |
| | provided in form of a contribution to salary costs and funds for their research within research organisations in Slovakia . The call aims to support excellent researchers across all R&D sectors, to attract foreign top researchers, and to prevent the brain drain of domestic top researchers abroad. Support from this call will increase the capacity of excellent researchers in Slovakia, increase the attractiveness and internationalisation of the Slovak research environment, which will have an impact on a cooperation with research communities abroad. |

| Duration of the call | |
|----------------------|-------------------|
| Call launch: | 27 June 2023 |
| Deadline: | 28 September 2023 |
| Allocation | |

The call allocation shall be **EUR 54 122 103**, of which:

- EUR 51 250 000 from the resource of the Recovery and Resilience Plan;
- **EUR 2 872 103** from the resource of the Recovery and Resilience Plan VAT.

The allocation is indicatively split into scientific areas as follows:

- Physical, Technical Sciences and Mathematics: 43 % of the allocation
- Natural and Medical Sciences: 28 % of the allocation
- Social Sciences and Humanities: 29 % of the allocation

Eligible applicants

Eligible applicants are **non-business** research organisations and research infrastructures, not individual researchers.

Applicants will act as host institutions of a individual excellent domestic/foreign researcher.

Eligible applicants are from:

- a) The **state sector**, which consists of the Slovak Academy of Sciences and legal entities carrying out research and development established by central state administration bodies,
- b) The sector of public research institutions, which consists of public research institutions,
- c) The **higher education sector** consisting of public universities, state universities, private universities and their established legal entities carrying out R&D;
- d) The non-profit sector, which consists of civic associations, non-profit organisations, associations of legal entities carrying out research and development (entities referred to in point (d) must demonstrate the performance of R&D activities by statutes or by a memorandum in force on the date of the application submission);
- e) The **business sector**, which consists of entrepreneurs within the meaning of Section 2(2)(a) of the Commercial Code, that, within their business activities, also carry out research and development (entities referred to in point e) must have the subject of activity 'research and development' registered in the Commercial Register at the date of the application submission).

Purpose of the call

The purpose of the call is to individually support excellent Slovak and foreign researchers in the career stages of R2 to R4 in carrying out fundamental and/or industrial research or independent research in **Slovak research performing organisations that will act as an applicant and as a researcher's host organisation**.

The call does not define specific eligible scientific areas or topics – these are determined by the researcher and the applicant based on mutual agreement, their own focus and expertise.

Applicants under points (d) and (e) of Section 7 of the State Support for Research and Development Act may submit only one application for each of the types of support (i.e. a total of up to 3 applications). If applicant under the first sentence submits more applications, only the first received will be considered.

To comply with the conditions of the call, the applicant must:

- identify the researcher in the application form and submit his/her Curriculum Vitae (as a part of Annex 6 "Description of the project");
- advertise a job offer on the European Commission's web portal <u>Euraxess</u>, and carry out the recruitment process in compliance with the European Charter for Researchers and the Code of Conduct for the Recruitment of Researchers;
- prepare the project using Annex 6 "Description of the project";
- declare to ensure the future relationship between the researcher and the beneficiary for the implementation of the project by an employment contract in accordance with the Labour Code with the corresponding working time per project, which shall be indicated in Annex 1 "Project data/Údaje o projekte". The recommended amount of working time of the researcher for the implementation of the project is 100 % (full-time). The minimum working time of the researcher for the implementation of the project is 50 % of full-time. In the case of reduced working hours, the amount of all contributions shall be reduced proportionally;
- assign the researcher to the appropriate career stage, according to his/her characteristics, competences, and experience at the time of the application. For the purpose of correct assignment, the applicant will be based on <u>the European Framework for Research Careers</u> or <u>the Research Profiles</u> <u>Descriptors</u> (in English);
- assign applications to one of the following types of support according to the identified stage of the researcher's career:

- A) Researcher R2 Fellowship
- B) Researcher R3 Fellowship
- C) Researcher R4 Fellowship
- identify the type of researcher in the application form:
 - for the purpose of this call, **domestic researcher** means a researcher of any nationality who has resided or carried out his/her main activity (work, study, etc.) in Slovakia for more than 12 months during the 36 months preceding the deadline of the call.
 - for the purpose of this call, **foreign researcher** means a researcher of any nationality who has not resided in Slovakia or has not carried out his/her main activity in Slovakia (work, study, etc.) for more than 12 months during the 36 months preceding the deadline of the call.

Note:

- The applicant must prepare a "Project Description" in the Slovak or English language version. It is
 recommended to prepare a "Project Description" in English as it will be subject to expert assessment by
 foreign evaluators. In case the applicant submits Slovak version of "Project description", it will be translated
 into English using the machine translation tool of the European Commission¹ and such translation will be
 submitted to the expert evaluators for assessment.
- 2. The accuracy of the assignment of the researcher's career stage will be assessed during peer review evaluation.
- 3. The publication of a job offer on <u>Euraxess</u> must be demonstrated by an appropriate document (e.g. print screen).

Eligible project activities

<u>Type of research for research organisations/research infrastructures (non-undertakings with a non-economic project):</u>

1. **Independent research** – is of a non-economic nature and represents experimental or theoretical work carried out with the aim of broadening knowledge and better understanding of given topics, including research collaboration, where the research organisation or research infrastructure engages in effective collaboration. The implementation of the activities takes place without planned direct application or use in practice. The primary objective of independent research is to independently conduct fundamental and/or industrial research with aim to disseminate acquired knowledge that is not intended for commercial use.

This type of research is relevant exclusively to entities that do not constitute an undertaking and will not carry out activities of an economic nature within the project.

Type of research for undertakings or economic projects of research organisations/research infrastructures:

1. **Fundamental research** – means experimental or theoretical work carried out to acquire new knowledge of the essential foundations of phenomena and observable facts without the intended direct commercial application or use. Only activities that reach the maximum TRL 1 are eligible. They can result in a preliminary evaluation of the potential benefits of the concept against the existing ones.

2. **Industrial research** is a planned research or critical survey aimed at acquiring new knowledge and skills for the development of new products, processes or services or for achieving a significant improvement of existing products, processes or services. This includes the development of parts of complex systems and may also include the construction of prototypes under laboratory conditions or in conditions with simulated interfaces linked to existing systems, as well as pilot lines, if they are necessary for industrial research, mostly for generic technology approval.

¹ <u>https://commission.europa.eu/resources-partners/etranslation_en;</u> in case of unavailability of this tool or technical problems, another machine translation tool will be used while respecting equal access to applicants

Duration of the project

The time eligibility of the project is set **at the earliest from the date of the researcher's employment** for the project, but at the earliest from the date the grant agreement entries into force.

The duration of project implementation is set at a minimum of 12 months and a maximum of 24 months.

The project's eligibility period ends on 30. 6. 2026².

Eligible costs

Simplified cost options in the form of unit costs will apply to:

- contribution to the researcher's salary costs;
 - contribution to research costs.

Simplified cost options in the form of flat rate will apply to:

- contribution to the indirect costs – as 15 % of eligible direct staff costs.

Proof of expenditures actually incurred shall apply to:

- expenditure of the research team of an excellent researcher falling under the type of support:
 - B) Researcher R3 Fellowship; or
 - C) Researcher R4 Fellowship.

Expenditure on the research team shall be voluntary, based on an agreement between the applicant and the researcher, if necessary for the implementation of the project. The table below shows the limits for the contribution per research team, i.e. the maximum amounts that the applicant can claim for support types B) or C) and for 100 % of the researcher's working time (full-time) on the project.

| | Type of Support – Researcher's Career Stage | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Total eligible costs | R2 | R3 | R4 |
| contribution to salary costs* | EUR 4 243/month | EUR 4 823/month | EUR 5 396/month |
| contribution to research costs* | EUR 1 300/month | EUR 1 300/month | EUR 1 300/month |
| contribution to indirect costs ** | max. EUR 636/month | max. EUR 1 023/month | max. EUR 1 484/month |
| contribution to research team *** | - | max. EUR 2 000/month | max. EUR 4 500/month |
| Total max. EUR | EUR 6 179/month | EUR 9 146/month | EUR 12 680/month |

* unit cost for the type of support, at 100 % of the researcher's work and when conducting independent research by a non-companies or basic research by company

- ** maximum amount/limit the actual amount of the contribution will be determined by the researcher's engagement, the actual and proven expenditure of the research team and the research carried out by the applicant
- *** maximum amount/limit

Evaluation process

Applications that are compliant with all conditions of the call will be subject to **peer review**, the purpose of which is to verify and evaluate the professional quality of the project, its impact, and the quality of the proposed implementation.

Peer review will be realised by external independent expert evaluators, each application evaluated by **at least 3 evaluators**. They assess the fulfilment of the following criteria, considering the following aspects:

² i.e. research activities must be completed no later than 2 months before the date on which the envisaged milestones and targets from the implementation of the RRP investments are to be completed

| have the expected impact. The assumption of a positive impact on the further career of the researcher, the assumption of a positive impact the applicant/host organisation. The significance of the expected impact – on the given area of knowledge and the scientific community, on economy, on society, on the environment. Adequacy of expected results and impacts of the project – qualitative and quantitative. The appropriateness and quality of the proposed measures to maximise the results and impact of the project. The quality of the proposed IPR management strategy for project results (if relevant). Implementation 5 Quality and efficiency of the project plan, feasibility of planned activities. | Criteria for the assessment of applications | Maximum score per criterion | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Relevance of the problems/needs to which the project is aimed. The project goes beyond the currently available solutions, procedures, etc. "beyond the state of the art". Appropriateness, timeliness, and relevance of the proposed methodology to the objectives of the project. The quality and adequacy of the conditions that the host organisation will ensure and provide to the researcher (e additional training, training, supervision/mentoring, possibilities to build its own research team, etc.). The quality of a dequacy of the conditions that the host organisation will ensure and provide to the researcher (e additional training, training, supervision/mentoring, possibilities to build its own research team, etc.). The quality of two-way knowledge transfer between the researcher and the host organisation. Impact In a supplication of a positive impact on the further career of the researcher, the assumption of a positive impact the applicant/host organisation. The significance of the expected impact – on the given area of knowledge and the scientific community, on economy, on society, on the environment. Adequacy of expected results and impacts of the project – qualitative and quantitative. The quality of the proposed IPR management strategy for project results (if relevant). Implementation Impleme | Excellence | 5 | | | |
| The project goes beyond the currently available solutions, procedures, etc. "beyond the state of the art". Appropriateness, timeliness, and relevance of the proposed methodology to the objectives of the project. The quality and adequacy of the researcher's professional experience, expertise, competences, and skills. The quality and adequacy of the conditions that the host organisation will ensure and provide to the researcher (e additional training, training, supervision/mentoring, possibilities to build its own research team, etc.). The quality of two-way knowledge transfer between the researcher and the host organisation. Impact 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | The quality and adequacy of the proposed objectives of | the project. | | | |
| Appropriateness, timeliness, and relevance of the proposed methodology to the objectives of the project. The quality and adequacy of the researcher's professional experience, expertise, competences, and skills. The quality and adequacy of the conditions that the host organisation will ensure and provide to the researcher (e additional training, training, supervision/mentoring, possibilities to build its own research team, etc.). The quality and adequacy of the conditions that the host organisation will ensure and provide to the researcher (e additional training, training, supervision/mentoring, possibilities to build its own research team, etc.). The quality of two-way knowledge transfer between the researcher and the host organisation. Impat 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | Relevance of the problems/needs to which the project is aimed. | | | | |
| The quality and adequacy of the researcher's professional experience, expertise, competences, and skills. The quality and adequacy of the tost organisation in relation to the project and the researcher. The quality and adequacy of the conditions that the host organisation will ensure and provide to the researcher (e additional training, training, supervision/mentoring, possibilities to build its own research team, etc.). The quality of two-way knowledge transfer between the researcher and the host organisation. Impact 5 The credibility of the proposed procedures, the likelihood that the project will achieve the expected results and have the expected impact. The assumption of a positive impact on the further career of the researcher, the assumption of a positive impact the applicant/host organisation. The significance of the expected impact – on the given area of knowledge and the scientific community, on economy, on society, on the environment. Adequacy of expected results and impacts of the project – qualitative and quantitative. The appropriateness and quality of the proposed measures to maximise the results and impact of the project Interget results (if relevant). Implementation 5 Quality and efficiency of the project plan, feasibility of planned activities. The coherence and logical framework of the work packages and the adequacy of the resources allocated to them, adequacy of the proposed milestones and deliverables. Estimation of implementation risks, quality of proposed measures. Capacities (personnel, professional, technical, infrastructure, other) of the applicant/host organisation. e evaluator assigns a maximum of 5 points to each criterion, with a possible resolution to ½ points. e condition will be met by those applications that get score above the threshold: for an individual criterion, gain a minimum of 3 points from the majority of evaluators, i.e. from at two of three evaluators; for the overall score – a gain of at least 10 points, the overall score is determined as the sum of averages of each | The project goes beyond the currently available solution | ns, procedures, etc. "beyond the state of the art". | | | |
| The quality and adequacy of the host organisation in relation to the project and the researcher. The quality and adequacy of the conditions that the host organisation will ensure and provide to the researcher (e additional training, training, supervision/mentoring, possibilities to build its own research team, etc.). The quality of two-way knowledge transfer between the researcher and the host organisation. Impac | Appropriateness, timeliness, and relevance of the propo | osed methodology to the objectives of the project. | | | |
| The quality and adequacy of the conditions that the host organisation will ensure and provide to the researcher (e additional training, training, supervision/mentoring, possibilities to build its own research team, etc.). The quality of two-way knowledge transfer between the researcher and the host organisation. Impact 5 The credibility of the proposed procedures, the likelihood that the project will achieve the expected results and have the expected impact. The assumption of a positive impact on the further career of the researcher, the assumption of a positive impact the applicant/host organisation. The significance of the expected impact – on the given area of knowledge and the scientific community, on economy, on society, on the environment. Adequacy of expected results and impacts of the project – qualitative and quantitative. The quality of the proposed IPR management strategy for project results (if relevant). Implementation 5 Quality and efficiency of the project plan, feasibility of planned activities. The obserence and logical framework of the work packages and the adequacy of the resources allocated to them, adequacy of the proposed milestones and deliverables. Estimation of implementation risks, quality of proposed measures. Capacities (personnel, professional, technical, infrastructure, other) of the applicant/host organisation. e evaluator assigns a maximum of 5 points to each criterion, with a possible resolution to ½ points. econdition will be met by those applications that get score above the threshold: < | The quality and adequacy of the researcher's profession | al experience, expertise, competences, and skills. | | | |
| additional training, training, supervision/mentoring, possibilities to build its own research team, etc.). The quality of two-way knowledge transfer between the researcher and the host organisation. Impat 5 The credibility of the proposed procedures, the likelihood that the project will achieve the expected results and have the expected impact. The assumption of a positive impact on the further career of the researcher, the assumption of a positive impact the applicant/host organisation. The significance of the expected impact – on the given area of knowledge and the scientific community, on economy, on society, on the environment. Adequacy of expected results and impacts of the project – qualitative and quantitative. The appropriateness and quality of the proposed measures to maximise the results and impact of the project. The quality of the proposed IPR management strategy for project results (if relevant). Implementation 5 Quality and efficiency of the project plan, feasibility of planned activities. The coherence and logical framework of the work packages and the adequacy of the resources allocated to them, adequacy of the proposed milestones and deliverables. Estimation of implementation risks, quality of proposed measures. Capacities (personnel, professional, technical, infrastructure, other) of the applicant/host organisation. e condition will be met by those applications that get score above the threshold: of or the overall score – a gain of at least 10 points, the overall score is determined as the sum of averages of each criterion (sta leas | The quality and adequacy of the host organisation in rela | ation to the project and the researcher. | | | |
| Impact 5 The credibility of the proposed procedures, the likelihood that the project will achieve the expected results and have the expected impact. The assumption of a positive impact on the further career of the researcher, the assumption of a positive impact the applicant/host organisation. The significance of the expected impact – on the given area of knowledge and the scientific community, on economy, on society, on the environment. Adequacy of expected results and impacts of the project – qualitative and quantitative. The quality of the proposed IPR management strategy for project results (if relevant). Implementation 5 Quality and efficiency of the project plan, feasibility of planned activities. The coherence and logical framework of the work packages and the adequacy of the resources allocated to them, adequacy of the proposed milestones and deliverables. Estimation of implementation risks, quality of proposed measures. Capacities (personnel, professional, technical, infrastructure, other) of the applicant/host organisation. e evaluator assigns a maximum of 5 points to each criterion, with a possible resolution to ½ points. ee condition will be met by those applications that get score above the threshold: for an individual criterion, gain a minimum of 3 points from the majority of evaluators, i.e. from at 1 two of three evaluators; for an individual criterion (the average of each criterion is calculated from the evaluations where criterion fulfilled th | | | | | |
| The credibility of the proposed procedures, the likelihood that the project will achieve the expected results and have the expected impact. The assumption of a positive impact on the further career of the researcher, the assumption of a positive impact the applicant/host organisation. The significance of the expected impact – on the given area of knowledge and the scientific community, on economy, on society, on the environment. Adequacy of expected results and impacts of the project – qualitative and quantitative. The appropriateness and quality of the proposed measures to maximise the results and impact of the project. The quality of the proposed IPR management strategy for project results (if relevant). Implementation S Quality and efficiency of the project plan, feasibility of planned activities. The coherence and logical framework of the work packages and the adequacy of the resources allocated to them, adequacy of the proposed milestones and deliverables. Estimation of implementation risks, quality of proposed measures. Capacities (personnel, professional, technical, infrastructure, other) of the applicant/host organisation. ue evaluator assigns a maximum of 5 points to each criterion, with a possible resolution to ½ points. the condition will be met by those applications that get score above the threshold: for an individual criterion, gain a minimum of 3 points, the overall score is determined as the sum of averages of each criterion (the average of each criterion is calculated from the evaluations where criterion fulfilled the conditions (at least 3 points)). No Significant Harm (DNSH) Densure compliance of the call with the DNSH Technical Guidance (2021/C58/01), the following activities sets are excluded from support: i. activities and assets related to fossil fuels, including downstream use; ii. activities and assets related to waste landfills, incinerators and mechanical biological treatment plat and | The quality of two-way knowledge transfer between the | e researcher and the host organisation. | | | |
| have the expected impact. The assumption of a positive impact on the further career of the researcher, the assumption of a positive impact the applicant/host organisation. The significance of the expected impact – on the given area of knowledge and the scientific community, on economy, on society, on the environment. Adequacy of expected results and impacts of the project – qualitative and quantitative. The appropriateness and quality of the proposed measures to maximise the results and impact of the project. The quality of the proposed IPR management strategy for project results (if relevant). Implementation Quality and efficiency of the project plan, feasibility of planned activities. The coherence and logical framework of the work packages and the adequacy of the resources allocated to them, adequacy of the proposed milestones and deliverables. Estimation of implementation risks, quality of proposed measures. Capacities (personnel, professional, technical, infrastructure, other) of the applicant/host organisation. e evaluator assigns a maximum of 5 points to each criterion, with a possible resolution to ½ points. e condition will be met by those applications that get score above the threshold: - for an individual criterion, gain a minimum of 3 points from the majority of evaluators, i.e. from at 1 two of three evaluators; - for the overall score – a gain of at least 10 points, the overall score is determined as the sum of averages of each criterion (the average of each criterion is calculated from the evaluations where criterion fulfilled the conditions (at least 3 points)). D No Significant Harm (DNSH) ensure compliance of the call with the DNSH Technical Guidance (2021/C58/01), the following activities sets are excluded from support: i. activities and assets related to fossil fuels, including downstream use; ii. activities and assets related to the set landfills, incinerators and mechanical biological treatment pla and | Impact | 5 | | | |
| the applicant/host organisation. The significance of the expected impact – on the given area of knowledge and the scientific community, on economy, on society, on the environment. Adequacy of expected results and impacts of the project – qualitative and quantitative. The appropriateness and quality of the proposed measures to maximise the results and impact of the project. The quality of the proposed IPR management strategy for project results (if relevant). Multiple and efficiency of the project plan, feasibility of planned activities. The coherence and logical framework of the work packages and the adequacy of the resources allocated to them, adequacy of the proposed milestones and deliverables. Estimation of implementation risks, quality of proposed measures. Capacities (personnel, professional, technical, infrastructure, other) of the applicant/host organisation. e evaluator assigns a maximum of 5 points to each criterion, with a possible resolution to ½ points. e condition will be met by those applications that get score above the threshold: for an individual criterion, gain a minimum of 3 points from the majority of evaluators, i.e. from at I two of three evaluators; for the overall score – a gain of at least 10 points, the overall score is determined as the sum of averages of each criterion (the average of each criterion is calculated from the evaluations where criterion fulfilled the conditions (at least 3 points)). No Significant Harm (DNSH) ensure compliance of the call with the DNSH Technical Guidance (2021/C58/01), the following activities sets are excluded from support: activities and assets related to fossil fuels, including downstream use; activities and assets related to waste landfills, incinerators and mechanical biological treatment plat and | The credibility of the proposed procedures, the likelihood that the project will achieve the expected results and wi have the expected impact. | | | | |
| economy, on society, on the environment. Adequacy of expected results and impacts of the project – qualitative and quantitative. The appropriateness and quality of the proposed measures to maximise the results and impact of the project. Implementation 5 Quality and efficiency of the project plan, feasibility of planned activities. The coherence and logical framework of the work packages and the adequacy of the resources allocated to them, adequacy of the proposed milestones and deliverables. Estimation of implementation risks, quality of proposed measures. Capacities (personnel, professional, technical, infrastructure, other) of the applicant/host organisation. e evaluator assigns a maximum of 5 points to each criterion, with a possible resolution to ½ points. e condition will be met by those applications that get score above the threshold: - for an individual criterion, gain a minimum of 3 points from the majority of evaluators, i.e. from at 1 two of three evaluators; - for the overall score – a gain of at least 10 points, the overall score is determined as the sum of averages of each criterion (the average of each criterion is calculated from the evaluations where criterion fulfilled the conditions (at least 3 points)). ON Significant Harm (DNSH) ensure compliance of the call with the DNSH Technical Guidance (2021/C58/01), the following activities sets are excluded from support: i. activities and assets related to fossil fuels, including downstream use; | The assumption of a positive impact on the further career of the researcher, the assumption of a positive impact or the applicant/host organisation. | | | | |
| The appropriateness and quality of the proposed measures to maximise the results and impact of the project. The quality of the proposed IPR management strategy for project results (if relevant). Implementation 5 Quality and efficiency of the project plan, feasibility of planned activities. The coherence and logical framework of the work packages and the adequacy of the resources allocated to them, adequacy of the proposed milestones and deliverables. Estimation of implementation risks, quality of proposed measures. Capacities (personnel, professional, technical, infrastructure, other) of the applicant/host organisation. e evaluator assigns a maximum of 5 points to each criterion, with a possible resolution to ½ points. ne condition will be met by those applications that get score above the threshold: - for an individual criterion, gain a minimum of 3 points from the majority of evaluators, i.e. from at I two of three evaluators; - for the overall score – a gain of at least 10 points, the overall score is determined as the sum of averages of each criterion (the average of each criterion is calculated from the evaluations where criterion fulfilled the conditions (at least 3 points)). D No Significant Harm (DNSH) ensure compliance of the call with the DNSH Technical Guidance (2021/C58/01), the following activities sets are excluded from support: i. activities and assets related to fossil fuels, including downstream use; ii. acti | | en area of knowledge and the scientific community, on th | | | |
| The quality of the proposed IPR management strategy for project results (if relevant). Implementation 5 Quality and efficiency of the project plan, feasibility of planned activities. The coherence and logical framework of the work packages and the adequacy of the resources allocated to them, adequacy of the proposed milestones and deliverables. Estimation of implementation risks, quality of proposed measures. Capacities (personnel, professional, technical, infrastructure, other) of the applicant/host organisation. e evaluator assigns a maximum of 5 points to each criterion, with a possible resolution to ½ points. e condition will be met by those applications that get score above the threshold: - for an individual criterion, gain a minimum of 3 points from the majority of evaluators, i.e. from at 1 two of three evaluators; - for the overall score – a gain of at least 10 points, the overall score is determined as the sum of averages of each criterion (the average of each criterion is calculated from the evaluations where criterion fulfilled the conditions (at least 3 points)). ONO Significant Harm (DNSH) ensure compliance of the call with the DNSH Technical Guidance (2021/C58/01), the following activities sets are excluded from support: activities and assets related to fossil fuels, including downstream use; activities and assets related to waste landfills, incinerators and mechanical biological treatment pla and | Adequacy of expected results and impacts of the project | t – qualitative and quantitative. | | | |
| Implementation 5 Quality and efficiency of the project plan, feasibility of planned activities. The coherence and logical framework of the work packages and the adequacy of the resources allocated to them, adequacy of the proposed milestones and deliverables. Estimation of implementation risks, quality of proposed measures. Capacities (personnel, professional, technical, infrastructure, other) of the applicant/host organisation. e evaluator assigns a maximum of 5 points to each criterion, with a possible resolution to ½ points. e condition will be met by those applications that get score above the threshold: - for an individual criterion, gain a minimum of 3 points from the majority of evaluators, i.e. from at 1 two of three evaluators; - for the overall score – a gain of at least 10 points, the overall score is determined as the sum of averages of each criterion (the average of each criterion is calculated from the evaluations where criterion fulfilled the conditions (at least 3 points)). ON Significant Harm (DNSH) ensure compliance of the call with the DNSH Technical Guidance (2021/C58/01), the following activities sets are excluded from support: activities and assets under the EU Emission Trading System (ETS) achieving projected greenhouse emissions that are not lower than the relevant benchmarks; activities and assets related to waste landfills, incinerators and mechanical biological treatment pla and | The appropriateness and quality of the proposed measu | res to maximise the results and impact of the project. | | | |
| Quality and efficiency of the project plan, feasibility of planned activities. The coherence and logical framework of the work packages and the adequacy of the resources allocated to them, adequacy of the proposed milestones and deliverables. Estimation of implementation risks, quality of proposed measures. Capacities (personnel, professional, technical, infrastructure, other) of the applicant/host organisation. e evaluator assigns a maximum of 5 points to each criterion, with a possible resolution to ½ points. e condition will be met by those applications that get score above the threshold: for an individual criterion, gain a minimum of 3 points from the majority of evaluators, i.e. from at I two of three evaluators; for the overall score – a gain of at least 10 points, the overall score is determined as the sum of averages of each criterion (the average of each criterion is calculated from the evaluations where criterion fulfilled the conditions (at least 3 points)). No Significant Harm (DNSH) ensure compliance of the call with the DNSH Technical Guidance (2021/C58/01), the following activities sets are excluded from support: activities and assets related to fossil fuels, including downstream use; activities and assets related to waste landfills, incinerators and mechanical biological treatment pla and | The quality of the proposed IPR management strategy for | or project results (if relevant). | | | |
| The coherence and logical framework of the work packages and the adequacy of the resources allocated to them, adequacy of the proposed milestones and deliverables. Estimation of implementation risks, quality of proposed measures. Capacities (personnel, professional, technical, infrastructure, other) of the applicant/host organisation. e evaluator assigns a maximum of 5 points to each criterion, with a possible resolution to ½ points. e condition will be met by those applications that get score above the threshold: for an individual criterion, gain a minimum of 3 points from the majority of evaluators, i.e. from at I two of three evaluators; for the overall score – a gain of at least 10 points, the overall score is determined as the sum of averages of each criterion (the average of each criterion is calculated from the evaluations where criterion fulfilled the conditions (at least 3 points)). No Significant Harm (DNSH) ensure compliance of the call with the DNSH Technical Guidance (2021/C58/01), the following activities sets are excluded from support: activities and assets related to fossil fuels, including downstream use; activities and assets related to waste landfills, incinerators and mechanical biological treatment pla and | Implementation | 5 | | | |
| adequacy of the proposed milestones and deliverables. Estimation of implementation risks, quality of proposed measures. Capacities (personnel, professional, technical, infrastructure, other) of the applicant/host organisation. e evaluator assigns a maximum of 5 points to each criterion, with a possible resolution to ½ points. e condition will be met by those applications that get score above the threshold: for an individual criterion, gain a minimum of 3 points from the majority of evaluators, i.e. from at I two of three evaluators; for the overall score – a gain of at least 10 points, the overall score is determined as the sum of averages of each criterion (the average of each criterion is calculated from the evaluations where criterion fulfilled the conditions (at least 3 points)). D No Significant Harm (DNSH) ensure compliance of the call with the DNSH Technical Guidance (2021/C58/01), the following activities sets are excluded from support: activities and assets related to fossil fuels, including downstream use; activities and assets related to waste landfills, incinerators and mechanical biological treatment pla and | Quality and efficiency of the project plan, feasibility of planned activities. | | | | |
| Capacities (personnel, professional, technical, infrastructure, other) of the applicant/host organisation. e evaluator assigns a maximum of 5 points to each criterion, with a possible resolution to ½ points. ne condition will be met by those applications that get score above the threshold: for an individual criterion, gain a minimum of 3 points from the majority of evaluators, i.e. from at 1 two of three evaluators; for the overall score – a gain of at least 10 points, the overall score is determined as the sum of averages of each criterion (the average of each criterion is calculated from the evaluations where criterion fulfilled the conditions (at least 3 points)). D No Significant Harm (DNSH) ensure compliance of the call with the DNSH Technical Guidance (2021/C58/01), the following activities sets are excluded from support: activities and assets related to fossil fuels, including downstream use; activities and assets under the EU Emission Trading System (ETS) achieving projected greenhouse emissions that are not lower than the relevant benchmarks; activities and assets related to waste landfills, incinerators and mechanical biological treatment pla and | The coherence and logical framework of the work packages and the adequacy of the resources allocated to them, the adequacy of the proposed milestones and deliverables. | | | | |
| are evaluator assigns a maximum of 5 points to each criterion, with a possible resolution to ½ points. be condition will be met by those applications that get score above the threshold: for an individual criterion, gain a minimum of 3 points from the majority of evaluators, i.e. from at 1 two of three evaluators; for the overall score – a gain of at least 10 points, the overall score is determined as the sum of averages of each criterion (the average of each criterion is calculated from the evaluations where criterion fulfilled the conditions (at least 3 points)). b No Significant Harm (DNSH) b ensure compliance of the call with the DNSH Technical Guidance (2021/C58/01), the following activities sets are excluded from support: activities and assets related to fossil fuels, including downstream use; activities and assets under the EU Emission Trading System (ETS) achieving projected greenhouse emissions that are not lower than the relevant benchmarks; activities and assets related to waste landfills, incinerators and mechanical biological treatment pla and | Estimation of implementation risks, quality of proposed measures. | | | | |
| are condition will be met by those applications that get score above the threshold: for an individual criterion, gain a minimum of 3 points from the majority of evaluators, i.e. from at 1 two of three evaluators; for the overall score – a gain of at least 10 points, the overall score is determined as the sum of averages of each criterion (the average of each criterion is calculated from the evaluations where criterion fulfilled the conditions (at least 3 points)). No Significant Harm (DNSH) ensure compliance of the call with the DNSH Technical Guidance (2021/C58/01), the following activities sets are excluded from support: activities and assets related to fossil fuels, including downstream use; activities and assets under the EU Emission Trading System (ETS) achieving projected greenhouse emissions that are not lower than the relevant benchmarks; activities and assets related to waste landfills, incinerators and mechanical biological treatment platand | Capacities (personnel, professional, technical, infrastruc | ture, other) of the applicant/host organisation. | | | |
| for an individual criterion, gain a minimum of 3 points from the majority of evaluators, i.e. from at two of three evaluators; for the overall score – a gain of at least 10 points, the overall score is determined as the sum of averages of each criterion (the average of each criterion is calculated from the evaluations where criterion fulfilled the conditions (at least 3 points)). No Significant Harm (DNSH) ensure compliance of the call with the DNSH Technical Guidance (2021/C58/01), the following activities sets are excluded from support: activities and assets related to fossil fuels, including downstream use; activities and assets under the EU Emission Trading System (ETS) achieving projected greenhouse emissions that are not lower than the relevant benchmarks; activities and assets related to waste landfills, incinerators and mechanical biological treatment pla and | e evaluator assigns a maximum of 5 points to each | criterion, with a possible resolution to ½ points. | | | |
| for an individual criterion, gain a minimum of 3 points from the majority of evaluators, i.e. from at two of three evaluators; for the overall score – a gain of at least 10 points, the overall score is determined as the sum of averages of each criterion (the average of each criterion is calculated from the evaluations where criterion fulfilled the conditions (at least 3 points)). No Significant Harm (DNSH) ensure compliance of the call with the DNSH Technical Guidance (2021/C58/01), the following activities sets are excluded from support: activities and assets related to fossil fuels, including downstream use; activities and assets under the EU Emission Trading System (ETS) achieving projected greenhouse emissions that are not lower than the relevant benchmarks; activities and assets related to waste landfills, incinerators and mechanical biological treatment pla and | e condition will be met by those applications that a | get score above the <i>threshold</i> : | | | |
| averages of each criterion (the average of each criterion is calculated from the evaluations where criterion fulfilled the conditions (at least 3 points)). D NO Significant Harm (DNSH) D ensure compliance of the call with the DNSH Technical Guidance (2021/C58/01), the following activities sets are excluded from support: activities and assets related to fossil fuels, including downstream use; activities and assets under the EU Emission Trading System (ETS) achieving projected greenhouse emissions that are not lower than the relevant benchmarks; activities and assets related to waste landfills, incinerators and mechanical biological treatment plat and | - for an individual criterion, gain a minimum of | | | | |
| b ensure compliance of the call with the DNSH Technical Guidance (2021/C58/01), the following activities assets are excluded from support: activities and assets related to fossil fuels, including downstream use; activities and assets under the EU Emission Trading System (ETS) achieving projected greenhouse emissions that are not lower than the relevant benchmarks; activities and assets related to waste landfills, incinerators and mechanical biological treatment pla and | averages of each criterion (the average of ea | ch criterion is calculated from the evaluations where t | | | |
| activities and assets related to fossil fuels, including downstream use; activities and assets under the EU Emission Trading System (ETS) achieving projected greenhouse emissions that are not lower than the relevant benchmarks; activities and assets related to waste landfills, incinerators and mechanical biological treatment pla and | o No Significant Harm (DNSH) | | | | |
| and | sets are excluded from support: i. activities and assets related to fossil fuels, inc ii. activities and assets under the EU Emission T | luding downstream use; Frading System (ETS) achieving projected greenhouse g | | | |
| v. activities and assets where the long-term disposal of waste may cause narm to the environment. | and | | | | |
| | | | | | |

All activities and projects must be implemented in accordance with relevant EU and national environmental legislation.